

REMARKS

Claims 1-3, 5-6, 8-9, 13, and 16-17 are allowed. Claims 18 and 19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Applicants thank the Examiner for telephone interview of December 14, 2007. We discussed an amendment to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 101 rejection of claims 18 and 19.

Applicants submit the amendment with this response.

Response to rejections of claims under 35 U.S.C. § 101

Claims 18 and 19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicants have amended claim 18 with the limitation “A computer readable storage medium embodying one or more instructions stored on a storage device and executable by a processor...” Claim 18 as amended. The amendment is fully supported by the specification. See page 7-8, ¶ 26. As the instructions of claim 18 are stored on a storage device, Applicants submit that claim 18 is directed to statutory subject matter, and that claim 19 is statutory as depending from a statutory claim.

Conclusion

As a result of the above amendments and remarks, Applicants submit that the application is in condition for prompt allowance. Should additional information be required regarding the traversal of the rejections of the claims enumerated above, Examiner is respectfully asked to notify Applicants of such need. If any impediments to the prompt allowance of the claims can be

resolved by a telephone conversation, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

/Brian C. Kunzler/

Brian C. Kunzler
Reg. No. 38,527
Attorney for Applicant

Date: January 9, 2008
8 East Broadway, Suite 600
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
Telephone (801) 994-4646
Fax (801) 531-1929